

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,637	07/11/2003	Noriyuki Ito	5271-0108PUS1	4271
	7590 04/23/200 <sup>-</sup> ART KOLASCH & BII	•	EXAM	INER
PO BOX 747			MAPLES, JOHN S	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/23/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/23/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,637	ITO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John S. Maples	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	nuary 2007.	•	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> in are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 30-34 ie/are	withdrawn from consideration.	•	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-29</u> ie/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18,20 and 21</u> i <del>s</del> /are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 22-24</u> i <del>e/</del> are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) <sub>.</sub> or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)	_	· ·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/617,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III in the reply filed on January 29, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that there is not serious burden on the examiner to search, for example Groups I and III together because the same are classified in the same area. This is not found persuasive because even though the two groups are originally classified in the same area, Group I will require much more searching and examination because of the dual amounts of potassium hydroxide claimed, which feature is not part of Group III.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 1-15 and 30-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in

order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 16, 18, 20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slezak-US 2005/0170246. (Slezak)

Reference is made to paragraphs 83-93 in Slezak along with Example 1 for an alkaline battery including a positive mixture comprising potassium hydroxide in a concentration of 45 weight % and where there is present water in an amount of about 8%. Slezak's teaching of the amount of water is within the claimed range of 8.4% because of the use of the word "about". It is noted that paragraph 93 envisions a potassium hydroxide amount of from 36-40 weight percent which meets the limitations of claim 20. Also, example I in Slezak teaches zinc in the negative mixture, which element is not disclosed in the positive mixture and to include the same in the positive mixture would have been obvious to enhance the properties of the electrolytic solution.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slezak in view of JP-2001-068121. ('121)

The only claimed feature not shown by Slezak is the density of the positive mixture. The '121 reference sets forth the claimed density in the Abstract thereof and to form the positive mixture in Slezak as taught by '121 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made so that the mixture could be utilized in power applications requiring small electrochemical cells.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,637

Art Unit: 1745

Randell teaches KOH electrolytic concentrations in an alkaline battery of interest.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 571-272-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:15-3:45, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSM/4-16-2007